

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,547	01/04/2002		Richard D. Harvey	214385	8473	
23460	7590	05/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		AYER, LTD	WALLS, D	WALLS, DIONNE A		
180 NORTH		PLAZA, SUITE 4900 N AVENUE	U	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60601	-6780		1731	1731	
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Δ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/037,547	HARVEY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		-
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b) LJ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extermining the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three manning the period of extermining the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three manning the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, insion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ionuis and the mailing date of the imare	ection, even it timely flied,	, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): The prior art rejections	for claims 14-18, 32	2-34, and 63-
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request to application in condition for allowance because: §		nsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-18,32-34 and 63-84</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41,48,55,62 and 85-94</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

Dionne A. Walls Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner is NOT convinced that the paper product of WO 97/30222 does NOT correspond to Applicant's claimed product - which would result from the recited process steps. Although Applicant argues that the claimed product possesses characteristics that are different from that of the product in WO 97/30222 Applicant has not claimed those apparent differences, i.e. retention percentage. Absent any characterics/properties recited in the claims the Examiner presumes that the process of WO 97/30222 would obviously produce a paper product having the same product characteritics as the claimed paper product.

Continuation of 10. Other: The prior art rejection for claims 41,48,55,62 and 85-94, which was presented in the FINAL REJECTION mailed on 12/23/2003, is maintained...